PLANNING APPLICATIONS: CRANSWICK POULTRY – EYE AIRFIELD

Correspondence by date

Date	Planning Ref No.	Sender	Recipient	Content
23/1/17	0332/17	Ian Trundley Trundley Designs	Gemma Walker MSDC	Email stating EIA will be required as project larger than 1000m2. Attaching plans 17-L07-P003 and 17-L07-P004 Requesting scoping opinion to confirm the extents of EIA
3/2/17	0332/17	RM Floods Planning SCC	Gemma Walker MSDC	Notes that applicant has not provided documents relating to flood risk or how they intend to dispose of surface water from the site.
9/2/17	0332/17	Delia Cook Economic Dev Officer	Gemma Walker MSDC	Supports the proposal for a new production facility. States that this is in support of development as referenced in Eye Airfield Planning Position Statement (2013). Airfield is preferred location for business operations that might require special mitigate measures if they were to be located adjacent to residential areas. Any specific concerns that might be required will be highlighted as a result of the Scoping Opinion exercise
17/2/17	0332/17	Trundley Design Services		Planning Statement – draft issue
20/2/17	0332/17	Eye Town Council	Katherine Hale MSDC	Objections in summary: a. Application goes against the MSDC Eye Airfield Development Brief 2012 which shows at least 50% of this plot landscaped/parkland, and is specifically designated business area with high quality buildings and employment. b. Adjacent to exit/entrance into Castleton Way of A140 – increase in HGV – added to proposed housing development NW of Eye for 250 houses. c. Concerns over surface drainage and flood risk over 20 acre site.
21/2/17	0332/17	Place Services	MSDC	Comments regarding landscape and landscape impact. Recommends: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) will be required as

21/2/17	0332/17	Nathan Pittam Enviro. Officer MSDC	Planning Admin MSDC	part of EIA. Assessment of loss of habitat Details landscape and maintenance plan and specification Detailed boundary treatment plan as a planning condition SuDS design proposal is expected for the site. No removal of existing grass verge and trees – additional required No objection to the proposed development with regards to land contamination. Any application for development on this site will need to fulfil the local validation requirements as at the point of
21/2/17	0332/17	Natural England	Planning Services MSDC	application. Advice given on what they expect to see in an Environmental Statement.
23/2/17	0332/17	Nathan Pittam Enviro. Officer MSDC	Planning Admin MSDC	No objection to the proposed development. Notes that any application that would result I the total number of chickens of over 40,000 individuals will result in the need for the application to be in receipt of an Environmental Permit.
24/2/17	0332/17	Rebecca Styles Heritage Officer MSDC		Recommends as Heritage Impact Assessment. The proposal has the potential to effect the setting of GI listed St Marys Church and GII* Guildhall to the SW of the site and GII* Yaxley Hall to south
27/2/19	0332/17	Jason Skilton RM Floods Planning SCC	Planning Admin Gemma Walker	The section 7 drainage is very vague and does not give much assurance over how they are to manage surface water. It does suggest that they will, where possible, re-use the surface water. A clearer statement is required on how they will met the requirement of NPPG and local policy on flood risk and the disposal of surface water drainage.
3/3/17	0332/17	Graham Steel Environment Agency	Gemma Walker MSDC	Details requirements expected to be addressed in EIA: Volume of water plan likely to require Disposal of foul water Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regs. 2016 (EPR) with regards to permit under section 6.8 Part A(1)(b) of EPR if relevant threshold of 50 tonnes of carcass production capacity is met. Slaughterhouse regulations

				Water environment – preliminary Risk Assessment needed Surface Water management – further details required.
9/3/17	0332/17	Kyle Porter Dev. Man. Techn. SCC – Highways	Gemma Walker MSDC	References response dated 20/2/17 (no copy on portal) when additional information requested: - Transport assessment (estimate vehicle movements) - Construction management plan (vehicle movements for construction purposes)
14/3/317	0332/17	David Harrold Enviro Health MSDC	Gemma Walker	No adverse comments to make. Advise: that any mitigation measures should be evaluated in terms of protecting residential amenity in addition to Env. Agency's permitting regime.
15/3/17	0332/17	Yaxley Parish Council	Planning Admin MSDC	Asked for the following to be addressed: Impact of wind direction on emissions Visual impact Impact of expected massive increase in vehicle Environmental impact on infrastructure in the areas – particularly inc in traffic through Yaxley as often used as a short cut to A143 at Wortham Impact on MSDC Eye Airfield Development Brief which had this area as a buffer to reduce environmental impact.
16/3/17	1082/17	Freeths	Dylan Jones MSDC	Further to telephone conversation. Request for planning authority to provide a formal screening opinion to confirm whether the proposed development described in the letter is Environmental Impact Assessment development. Aware that Scoping Opinion request submitted by Trundley Design Services (ref 0332/17) but, as discussed their client and Trundley Design have asked for Freeths to first establish whether an EIA is necessary. Map attached: 17-L07-P004
23/3/17	1082/17	DYJO Planning Services MSDC		Environmental Impact Assessment – Part II Screening Opinion Environmental Impact Assessment is not required.
23/3/17	0332/17	Dylan Jones	Ian Trundley	Advising that following the screening opinion that Mark Bassett from

		MSDC	Trundley Designs	Freeths had sent, he has concluded that the Crown Chicken proposal at Eye Airfield does not require an Environmental Statement. Asks for Mr Trundley to email and tell him that he would like to withdraw scoping opinion.
23/3/17	0332/17	Ian Trundley	Dylan Jones	"Thank you for your email and we confirm the withdrawal of the
		Trundley Designs	MSDC	Scoping Opinion submitted for the project".